



YOUR ORDER HAS BEEN ASSESSED AND GIVEN

Our Quality Report Guarantee

Order number: **416111**

Name: A Researcher

03/02/15

Date

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Dennehy'.

Daniel Dennehy
Aftercare manager

↓ Scroll down to read your report ↓

Below is your full quality report. It was performed by Robin Bagust. If you have any questions, don't hesitate to **contact us.**



Instructions

Type: Not applicable

Please check the customer's instructions to ensure these match the type of project selected. Has the researcher completed the correct type of project?

Yes

↓ Scroll down to continue ↓

Writing



Word count: The customer ordered 1500 Words (Estimated 3-4 pages). How many words did the researcher complete? (excluding bibliography)

1547

Spelling and grammar: Is the work free from spelling and grammatical errors?

Yes

Flow and Signposting: Does the work flow well with good evidence of signposting?

Yes - The introduction lays out the aims of the assignment reasonably well. The writing is linked together well and this shows how it flows.

Structure and Presentation: Is the work appropriately structured, and neatly presented?

Yes - Your researcher has used a typical essay structure of introduction, main body and conclusion. Your researcher has presented the document neatly, and they include subheadings to direct you through the essay.

↓ Scroll down to continue ↓

References



Referencing Style: The customer requested 'Harvard - page numbers for direct quotes only' referencing style.

Has the correct style been used?

Yes

Formatting: Is the format of the referencing correct?

Yes

If 'Yes', Please select at least 3 examples of references from in-text and the bibliography that you have checked to ensure the formatting is correct

Knecht, P. and Bass, K. (2012) Why board culture matters. Interpersonal and group dynamics can affect the entire organization. *Trustee*. 65(5), 21.

McDonald, J., Jayasuriya, R. and Fort Harris, M. (2012) The influence of power dynamics and trust on multidisciplinary collaboration: a qualitative case study of type 2 diabetes mellitus, *British Medical Council Health Services Research*, 12, 63.

MacLeod, D., & Clarke, N. (2009). *Engaging for success. Enhancing performance through employee engagement*. London: Office of Public Sector Information.

Quality: Are the references of a suitable quality?

Yes

Quantity: Has the researcher used sufficient references?

Yes

(Please provide evidence used to make your decision.)

A decent range of sources have been cited to support the discussion.



Recency: Are the references up to date where appropriate?

Yes

Authenticity: Are the references authentic? Please check in line with our guidelines

Yes

(Please provide evidence used to make your decision.)

A random selection of sources have been checked for authenticity.

Authority: Are all arguments fully supported with appropriate sources?

Yes

(Please provide evidence used to make your decision.)

No unsupported assertions were found.

**Overall comments on referencing:
Positive comments**

Assertions are well cited throughout and the bibliography has been carefully formatted in line with the requested referencing system.

↩ Scroll down to continue ↪

Standard

Focus: Is the work focused, with all material relevant to the question?

Yes - The work remains focussed on the issue of NHS organisational culture and collaborative working throughout.

Critical/descriptive: Is the work critical, rather than descriptive? (if appropriate)

Yes - A suitable mix of description and critique is provided in the essay, with your researcher making a number of interesting observations. For example:

Collaboration is a key aspect of modern health care so that practitioners and patients can work together to develop necessary care plans and gain access to appropriate care, however the literature reveals that often when staff work interprofessionally, conflicts can occur (McDonald et al., 2012). This is due to staff holding stereotypical perceptions of other staff members, which can result in the empowerment or disempowerment of particular team members (McDonald et al., 2012). Such conflicts arise due to dominant discourses - discourses being particular ways of making sense of the world determined by dominant language and stereotyped information - such as doctors being higher status than nurses (Winstanley, 2006). Such understandings of health professionals status and roles can lead to unequal power dynamics where one professionals belief, knowledge and views are valued more than those of another profession (Fairclough, 2013). Brewer (1996) suggests that this occurs because people working in teams need to establish their individuality and uniqueness as individuals can feel restrained and unimportant when in a group situation.



Conclusion: What does the brief ask the researcher to do, specifically?

A brief summative conclusion has been provided.

Have they done as instructed and have we met our guarantees?

Yes - Your researcher has focused the essay on the title set. The essay is within the word count limits and has been logically structured. The essay makes a number of interesting observations and has been supported by a strong number of sources.

**Standard: The customer ordered Undergraduate 2:1 (60-69%)
What standard would you grade this work at?**

Undergraduate 2:1 (60-69%)



Your feedback shapes the changes we make on a daily basis so whether you are happy or disappointed let us know



IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR

Quality Guarantee Report

DON'T HESITATE TO CONTACT US AND
WE'LL BE HAPPY TO EXPLAIN YOUR RESULTS